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## INTRODUCTION

The iterative solution of the inverse Time Harmonic Field Electric Logging problem is based on the repetitive use of the forward modeling algorithm. Information provided by the value and deriva-tives of the measurement given by the current model is used to determine the parameters of the model to be used in the following iteration. So, local knowledge of the derivatives of the measurement function is required at each iteration. Although numerical approximation of the derivatives can be easily performed, the possibility of computing them analytically would not only improve the convergence of the algorithm, but it also would improve its efficiency in terms of computational time.

This report describes the recursive procedure developed for the computation of the derivatives required for the implementation of the inverse problem. Due to the complexity of the measurement function, only its first derivatives are actually computed and a linear inversion approximation is considered.

## DIFFERENTIATION OF THE MEASUREMENT FUNCTION

As it can be seen from [1], the solution of the Time Harmonic Field Electric Logging problem, which constitutes the logging tool measurement, is a very complex function of a large number of variables. Since the inverse problem is basically concerned with the determination of the earthen formation parameters, the derivatives with respect to some of those parameters (specifically the conductivities) are the ones we are interested in. A more detailed discussion on why the zones' radii are not been considered for the inverse modeling is presented in [2].

As it is described in [1], the computation of the measurement is performed in two clearly defined steps. In the first step, the electromagnetic responses for the current elements are computed. This responses are represented by the quantities denoted as $\Delta \mathrm{R}$ 's. In the second step, the method of moments is used to approximate the logging tool measurement. This is done by linearly combining the current element responses. As the second step performs a linear combination of the $\Delta \mathrm{R}$ 's, then the derivatives of the measurement can be certainly computed by performing the same linear combination with the derivatives of the $\Delta \mathrm{R}$ 's. For this reason we will be only considering the derivatives of the $\Delta \mathrm{R}$ 's.

Also from [1], it can be seen that the values of the $\Delta \mathrm{R}$ 's are defined (after a small change of notation) by the following integral expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{z})=\frac{-2}{\mathrm{r}_{0} \mathrm{~h} \pi^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{Z}_{1}(\lambda) \frac{\operatorname{Sin}^{3}(\lambda \mathrm{~h} / 2)}{\lambda^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{j} \lambda z} \mathrm{~d} \lambda \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{1}(\lambda)=-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\sigma_{1}} \frac{\mathrm{~K}_{0}\left(\beta_{1} \mathrm{r}_{0}\right)+\Gamma_{1} \mathrm{I}_{0}\left(\beta_{1} \mathrm{r}_{0}\right)}{\mathrm{K}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{1} \mathrm{r}_{0}\right)+\Gamma_{1} \mathrm{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{1} \mathrm{r}_{0}\right)},  \tag{2}\\
& \beta_{1}=\beta_{1}(\lambda)=\sqrt[2]{\lambda^{2}+\mathrm{j} \omega \mu \sigma_{1}}, \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

$r_{0}$ is the radius of the current element, $h$ is the segment length, $\omega$ is the angular frequency of operation, $\mu$ is the magnetic permeability, $\sigma_{1}$ is the electric conductivity of zone $1, \Gamma_{1}$ is the reflection coefficient of zone 1 (which contains the information related to all the zones in the formation), and $\mathrm{I}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{0}$ are the zero order Modified Bessel functions of first and second kind.

The definition given in (2) is actually the wave impedance evaluated at the current element's surface, $\mathrm{r}_{0}$; and it is indeed a function of all the parameters of the earthen formation (radii and conductivities) which are contained in the value of the reflection coefficient $\Gamma_{1}$.

Again, because of the linearity of integration, the derivatives of $\Delta \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{z})$ with respect to the conductivities can be computed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Delta R(z)}{\partial \sigma_{n}}=\frac{-2}{r_{0} h \pi^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial Z_{1}(\lambda)}{\partial \sigma_{n}} \frac{\operatorname{Sin}^{3}(\lambda \mathrm{~h} / 2)}{\lambda^{3}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{j} \lambda z} \mathrm{~d} \lambda \quad \text { for } 1 \leq \mathrm{n} \leq \mathrm{N} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where N is the total number of zones in the formation and $\sigma_{\mathrm{n}}$ is the electric conductivity of zone $n$. For simplicity in notation, $Z_{1}(\lambda)$ is going to be denoted as $Z_{1}$ from now on.

As it can be seen from (4), only differentiation of $Z_{1}$ is required; and the integral in (4) can be numerically approximated by using the same methodology developed in [3] for the computation of the $\Delta \mathrm{R}$ 's.

## COMPUTATION OF THE DERIVATIVES OF Z1

As it is implied by (2), the computation of $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ requires the knowledge of the reflection coefficient $\Gamma_{1}$, which is obtained by the recursive procedure presented in [1]. Equations (5) and (6), along with figure 1 , illustrate that procedure.
$\Gamma_{\mathrm{N}}=0$
$\Gamma_{n}=-\frac{Z_{0 n} K_{0}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n}\right)+Z_{n+1} K_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n}\right)}{Z_{0 n} I_{0}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n}\right)+Z_{n+1} I_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n}\right)} \quad$ for $1 \leq n \leq(N-1)$
$Z_{n}=-Z_{0 n} \frac{K_{0}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n-1}\right)+\Gamma_{n} I_{0}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n-1}\right)}{K_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n-1}\right)+\Gamma_{n} I_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n-1}\right)} \quad$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$
where $Z_{0 n}=\frac{\beta_{n}}{\sigma_{n}}$
$\beta_{\mathrm{n}}=\sqrt[2]{\lambda^{2}+j \omega \mu \sigma_{\mathrm{n}}}$,
$r_{n}$ is the outer radius of zone $n, \Gamma_{n}$ is the reflection coefficient in zone $n$, and $Z_{n}$ is the wave impedance evaluated at the inner radius of zone $n\left(r_{n-1}\right)$.


Figure 1: Earthen formation and the computation of $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$.

Notice from figure 1, that the recursive procedure starts at the outermost zone, where the reflection coefficient is equated to zero (5.a). Then, (5.a) is replaced into (6) in order to compute $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$ (the wave impedance at the outermost boundary $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{N}-1}$ ). This value is then used in (5.b) to compute the reflection coefficient in zone $\mathrm{N}-1$, which is again replaced into (6) to obtain $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}-1}$. In this way, the iterations are continued until zone 1 is reached and the wave impedance at the current element's surface is obtained.

In order to compute the derivatives of $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$, the recursions described above must be taken into consideration. Then, starting from $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ and using the chain rule, differentiation is performed until reaching the zone whose conductivity is being used as the derivative's variable. By doing so, the derivative of $Z_{1}$ with respect to the conductivity of zone $k$ will be given by an expression of the form:
$\frac{\partial Z_{1}}{\partial \sigma_{k}}=\frac{\partial Z_{1}}{\partial \Gamma_{1}} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{1}}{\partial Z_{2}} \frac{\partial Z_{2}}{\partial \Gamma_{2}} \cdots \frac{\partial \Gamma_{k-1}}{\partial Z_{k}} \frac{\partial Z_{k}}{\partial \sigma_{k}}$
which can also be computed by using a recursive procedure.

Before starting the computation of the derivatives, let us remind the following Bessel function identities, which will be required during the computations:
$\mathrm{K}_{0}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})=-\mathrm{K}_{1}(\mathrm{x}) \quad$ and $\quad \mathrm{I}_{0}^{\prime}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{I}_{1}(\mathrm{x})$
$K_{1}^{\prime}(x)=-K_{0}(x)-\frac{K_{1}(x)}{x} \quad$ and $\quad I_{1}^{\prime}(x)=I_{0}(x)-\frac{I_{1}(x)}{x}$
where $I_{1}$ and $K_{1}$ are the first order Modified Bessel functions of first and second kind.

Also, the following derivatives will simplify the computations:
$\frac{\partial \beta_{\mathrm{n}}}{\partial \sigma_{\mathrm{k}}}=0 \quad \forall \mathrm{n} \neq \mathrm{k}$
$\frac{\partial \beta_{\mathrm{k}}}{\partial \sigma_{\mathrm{k}}}=\frac{\mathrm{j} \omega \mu}{2 \beta_{\mathrm{k}}}$
$\frac{\partial K_{0}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}{\partial \sigma_{\mathrm{k}}}=-\frac{\mathrm{j} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}} \omega \mu}{2 \beta_{\mathrm{k}}} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{l}}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$
$\frac{\partial I_{0}\left(\beta_{k} r_{i}\right)}{\partial \sigma_{k}}=\frac{j r_{i} \omega \mu}{2 \beta_{k}} I_{1}\left(\beta_{k} r_{i}\right)$
$\frac{\partial \mathrm{K}_{1}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)}{\partial \sigma_{\mathrm{k}}}=-\frac{\mathrm{j} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}} \omega \mu}{2 \beta_{\mathrm{k}}} \mathrm{K}_{0}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{j} \omega \mu}{2 \beta_{\mathrm{k}}^{2}} \mathrm{~K}_{1}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$
$\frac{\partial I_{1}\left(\beta_{k} r_{i}\right)}{\partial \sigma_{k}}=\frac{j r_{i} \omega \mu}{2 \beta_{k}} I_{o}\left(\beta_{k} r_{i}\right)-\frac{j \omega \mu}{2 \beta_{k}^{2}} I_{1}\left(\beta_{k} r_{i}\right)$
where $r_{i}$ can be either $r_{k}$ or $r_{k-1}$.

Now let us develop the recursive procedure for the evaluation of (8). This will be done by considering the derivatives of the reflection coefficient $\Gamma_{n}$ and the wave impedance $Z_{n}$, as defined in (5) and (6), with respect to the conductivity of zone $k$ (for $1 \leq k \leq N$ ). As it will be seen next, depending on the values of $n$ and $k$, three different kind of derivatives can result:
1.- Null Derivatives. As it can be observed from (5.b) and (6), $Z_{n}$ and $\Gamma_{n}$ are always functions of conductivities $n, n+1, \ldots N$. Then, for values of $k$ smaller than $n$, the derivatives of $Z_{n}$ and $\Gamma_{n}$ with respect to the conductivity k are always zero. This can be easily verified from figure 1 .

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial \Gamma_{\mathrm{n}}}{\partial \sigma_{\mathrm{k}}}=0 & \text { if } \mathrm{n}>\mathrm{k} \\
\frac{\partial \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\partial \sigma_{\mathrm{k}}}=0 & \text { if } \mathrm{n}>\mathrm{k} \tag{13.a}
\end{array}
$$

2.- Long Derivatives. Similarly, it can be seen from (5), (6) and (7), that the dependence on conductivity $n$ appears in all the $\beta_{\mathrm{n}}$ 's present in the expression. Then, for k equal to n , differentiation of (5) and (6) becomes very messy. For practical reasons and because of the embroilment of the algebra, all the intermediate steps are going to be omitted. After differentiating, applying the chain rule, gathering terms and substituting expressions from (5), (6), (9), (10) and (11); the following results are obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \Gamma_{k}}{\partial \sigma_{k}}= & 0 \quad \text { if } k=N  \tag{12.b.1}\\
\frac{\partial \Gamma_{k}}{\partial \sigma_{k}}= & -\frac{j r_{k} \mu}{2 \beta_{k}}\left\{\left(\omega Z_{k+1}+\frac{\omega}{\sigma_{k} r_{k}}+\frac{j 2 Z_{0 k}^{2}}{\mu r_{k}}\right)\left[\frac{K_{0}\left(\beta_{k} r_{k}\right)+\Gamma_{k} I_{0}\left(\beta_{k} r_{k}\right)}{Z_{0 k} I_{0}\left(\beta_{k} r_{k}\right)+Z_{k+1} I_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{k} r_{k}\right)}\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\omega\left(Z_{0 k}-\frac{Z_{k+1}}{\beta_{k} r_{k}}\right)\left[\frac{K_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{k} r_{k}\right)+\Gamma_{k} I_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{k} r_{k}\right)}{Z_{0 k} I_{0}\left(\beta_{k} r_{k}\right)+Z_{k+1} I_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{k} r_{k}\right)}\right]\right\} \quad \text { if } k<N  \tag{12.b.2}\\
\frac{\partial Z_{k}}{\partial \sigma_{k}}= & \left(\frac{j \omega \mu}{2 \beta_{k}^{2}}-\frac{1}{\sigma_{k}}\right) Z_{k}+\frac{j \omega \mu r_{k-1}}{2 \beta_{k}}\left(\frac{Z_{k}^{2}}{Z_{0 k}}+\frac{Z_{k}}{\beta_{k} r_{k-1}}-Z_{0 k}\right) \\
& -\left\lceil\frac{Z_{0 k} I_{0}\left(\beta_{k} r_{k-1}\right)+Z_{k} I_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{k} r_{k-1}\right)}{K_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{k} r_{k-1}\right)+\Gamma_{k} I_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{k} r_{k-1}\right)}\right\rfloor \frac{\partial \Gamma_{k}}{\partial \sigma_{k}} \tag{13.b}
\end{align*}
$$

3.- Short Derivatives. The third kind of derivatives appears for those cases in which k is greater than n . Again, it can be noticed from (5), (6) and (7), that dependence on conductivity k occurs only in the wave impedance or the reflection coefficient functions in the fractional expressions. Then, after applying the chain rule, gathering terms and substituting expressions from (5), (6), (9), (10) and (11); the following results are obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \Gamma_{n}}{\partial \sigma_{k}}=-\left\lfloor\frac{K_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n}\right)+\Gamma_{n} I_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n}\right)}{Z_{0 n} I_{0}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n}\right)+Z_{n+1} I_{0}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n}\right)}\right\rfloor \frac{\partial Z_{n+1}}{\partial \sigma_{k}} \quad \text { if } n<k \tag{12.c}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\partial \sigma_{\mathrm{k}}}=-\left\lceil\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{0 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{I}_{0}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)+\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)}{\mathrm{K}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)+\Gamma_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}-1}\right)}\right\rfloor \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\mathrm{n}}}{\partial \sigma_{k}} \quad \text { if } \mathrm{n}<k \tag{13.c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, the recursive implementation of (8) is performed by successive iterations on (5), (6), (12) and (13). Such procedure is clearly illustrated by the flow diagram presented in figure 2.


Figure 2: Recursive procedure for computing $\partial \mathrm{Z}_{1} / \partial \sigma_{\mathrm{k}}$.

## NUMERICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Because of the exponential nature of the modified Bessel functions, overflow and underflow conditions can occur when evaluating them at large argument values during the numerical computation of $\partial \mathrm{Z}_{1} / \partial \sigma_{\mathrm{k}}$. In order to avoid this problem, it is possible to remove the exponential dependencies from the Bessel functions and consider them separately. Then, the functions and their derivatives must be expressed in product form as follows:
$K_{0}\left(\beta_{i} r_{j}\right)=\tilde{K}_{0}\left(\beta_{i} r_{j}\right) e^{-\beta_{i} r_{j}}$
$K_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\tilde{\mathrm{K}}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\beta_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}}}$
$I_{0}\left(\beta_{i} r_{j}\right)=\tilde{I}_{0}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{+\beta_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}}}$
$I_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\tilde{\mathrm{I}}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{+\beta_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{j}}}$
where all the tilded functions do not have exponential dependencies.

Then, let us rewrite the recursive equations presented in the previous section in terms of the tilded functions in (14). Let us start by replacing (14) into (5). By doing so, the following expression is obtained:
$\Gamma_{n}=\left[-\frac{Z_{0 n} \tilde{K}_{0}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n}\right)+Z_{n+1} \tilde{K}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n}\right)}{Z_{0 n} \tilde{I}_{0}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n}\right)+Z_{n+1} \tilde{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n}\right)}\right] \frac{e^{-\beta_{n} r_{n}}}{e^{+\beta_{n} r_{n}}} \quad$ for $1 \leq n \leq(N-1)$
where the term in brackets is going to be denoted as $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{n}}$, so that:
$\Gamma_{\mathrm{n}}=\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \beta_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{n}}} \quad$ for $1 \leq \mathrm{n} \leq(\mathrm{N}-1)$

In this way (5) is then replaced by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{N}}=0 \tag{17.a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\tilde{\Gamma}_{n}=-\frac{Z_{0 n} \tilde{K}_{0}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n}\right)+Z_{n+1} \tilde{K}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n}\right)}{Z_{0 n} \tilde{I}_{0}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n}\right)+Z_{n+1} \tilde{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n}\right)} \quad$ for $1 \leq n \leq(N-1)$

Next, by substituting (14) and (16) into (6), the new version of (6) is obtained:
$Z_{n}=-Z_{0 n} \frac{\tilde{K}_{0}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n-1}\right)+\tilde{\Gamma}_{n} e^{-2 \beta_{n}\left(r_{n}-r_{n-1}\right)} \tilde{I}_{0}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n-1}\right)}{\tilde{K}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n-1}\right)+\tilde{\Gamma}_{n} e^{-2 \beta_{n}\left(r_{n}-r_{n-1}\right)} \tilde{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n-1}\right)} \quad$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$

Similarly, by using (14) and (16) into (12) and (13), new expressions for them are gotten:
1.- Null Derivatives. $(\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{n})$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \tilde{\Gamma}_{n}}{\partial \sigma_{k}}=0  \tag{19.a}\\
& \frac{\partial Z_{n}}{\partial \sigma_{k}}=0 \tag{20.a}
\end{align*}
$$

2.- Long Derivatives. $(k=n)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{\Gamma}_{k}}{\partial \sigma_{k}}= & 0 \quad \text { if } k=N  \tag{19.b.1}\\
\frac{\partial \tilde{\Gamma}_{k}}{\partial \sigma_{k}}= & -\frac{j r_{k} \mu}{2 \beta_{k}}\left\{\left(\omega Z_{k+1}+\frac{\omega}{\sigma_{k} r_{k}}+\frac{j 2 Z_{0 k}^{2}}{\mu r_{k}}\right)\left[\frac{\tilde{K}_{0}\left(\beta_{k} r_{k}\right)+\tilde{\Gamma}_{k} \tilde{I}_{0}\left(\beta_{k} r_{k}\right)}{Z_{0 k} \tilde{I}_{0}\left(\beta_{k} r_{k}\right)+Z_{k+1} \tilde{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{k} r_{k}\right)}\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\omega\left(Z_{0 k}-\frac{Z_{k+1}}{\beta_{k} r_{k}}\right)\left[\frac{\tilde{K}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{k} r_{k}\right)+\tilde{\Gamma}_{k} \tilde{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{k} r_{k}\right)}{Z_{0 k} \tilde{I}_{0}\left(\beta_{k} r_{k}\right)+Z_{k+1} \tilde{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{k} r_{k}\right)}\right]\right\} \quad \text { if } k<N \tag{19.b.2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial Z_{k}}{\partial \sigma_{k}}=\left(\frac{j \omega \mu}{2 \beta_{k}^{2}}-\frac{1}{\sigma_{k}}\right) Z_{k}+\frac{j \omega \mu r_{k-1}}{2 \beta_{k}}\left(\frac{Z_{k}^{2}}{Z_{0 k}}+\frac{Z_{k}}{\beta_{k} r_{k-1}}-Z_{0 k}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left\lceil\frac{\mathrm{Z}_{0 \mathrm{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{I}}_{0}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k}-1}\right)+\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}} \tilde{\mathrm{I}}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k}-1}\right)}{\tilde{\mathrm{K}}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k}-1}\right)+\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{e}^{-2 \beta_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k}}-\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{k}-1}\right)} \tilde{\mathrm{I}}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k}-1}\right)}\right\rfloor \mathrm{e}^{-2 \beta_{\mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k}}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k}-1}\right)}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\partial \sigma_{\mathrm{k}}} \tag{20.b}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.- Short Derivatives. $(\mathrm{k}>\mathrm{n})$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \tilde{\Gamma}_{n}}{\partial \sigma_{k}}=-\left\lceil\frac{\tilde{K}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n}\right)+\tilde{\Gamma}_{n} \tilde{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n}\right)}{Z_{0 n} \tilde{\mathrm{I}}_{0}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n}\right)+Z_{n+1} \tilde{\mathrm{I}}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n}\right)}\right\rfloor \frac{\partial Z_{n+1}}{\partial \sigma_{k}}  \tag{19.c}\\
& \frac{\partial Z_{n}}{\partial \sigma_{k}}=-\left\lceil\frac{Z_{0 n} \tilde{\mathrm{I}}_{0}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n-1}\right)+Z_{n} \tilde{\mathrm{I}}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n-1}\right)}{\tilde{\mathrm{K}}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n-1}\right)+\tilde{\Gamma}_{n} e^{-2 \beta_{n}\left(r_{n}-r_{n-1}\right)} \tilde{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{n} r_{n-1}\right)}\right\rfloor \mathrm{e}^{-2 \beta_{n}\left(r_{n}-r_{n-1}\right)} \frac{\partial \tilde{\Gamma}_{n}}{\partial \sigma_{k}} \tag{20.c}
\end{align*}
$$

In this way, the recursive implementation of (8) can be performed in the same manner illustrated in figure 2, but using equations (17), (18), (19) and (20) instead of (5), (6), (12) and (13). In this new procedure, numerical overflow and underflow during the evaluation of the Bessel functions are less likely to occur.

## PROGRAMMING STRATEGIES

Due to the complexity of the equations involved in the computation of $\partial \mathrm{Z}_{1} / \partial \sigma_{\mathrm{k}}$ it would be very helpful to define some common factors and rewrite the recursive equations in terms of them. By looking carefully at (17), (18), (19) and (20), the following common functions or subroutines can be defined:

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{k k}(a, b)=Z_{0 a} \tilde{K}_{0}\left(\beta_{a} r_{b}\right)+Z_{b+1} \tilde{K}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{a} r_{b}\right)  \tag{21.a}\\
& g_{\text {ii }}(a, b)=Z_{0 a} \tilde{I}_{0}\left(\beta_{a} r_{b}\right)+Z_{b+1} \tilde{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{a} r_{b}\right)  \tag{21.b}\\
& f_{k i}(a, b, \chi)=\tilde{K}_{0}\left(\beta_{a} r_{b}\right)+\tilde{\Gamma}_{a} \chi \tilde{I}_{0}\left(\beta_{a} r_{b}\right)  \tag{21.c}\\
& d_{k i}(a, b, \chi)=\tilde{K}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{a} r_{b}\right)+\tilde{\Gamma}_{a} \chi \tilde{I}_{0}^{\prime}\left(\beta_{a} r_{b}\right) \tag{21.d}
\end{align*}
$$

where a and b are the input variables and $\chi$ is an output variable given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi=\chi(a, b)=\mathrm{e}^{-2 \beta_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)} \tag{21.e}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this way, (17), (18), (19) and (20) can be expressed in terms of the functions in (21) as follows:
$\tilde{\Gamma}_{n}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } n=N \\ -\frac{g_{k k}(n, n)}{g_{i i}(n, n)} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}$
$Z_{n}=-Z_{0 n} \frac{f_{k i}(n, n-1, \chi)}{d_{k i}(n, n-1, \chi)}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \tilde{\Gamma}_{n}}{\partial \sigma_{k}}= \begin{cases}\left\{\begin{array} { l l } 
{ 0 } & { \text { if } n > k \text { or } n = k = N } \\
{ 2 \beta _ { k } }
\end{array} \left\{\left(\omega Z_{k+1}+\frac{\omega}{\sigma_{k} r_{k}}+\frac{j 2 Z_{0 k}^{2}}{\mu r_{k}}\right) \frac{f_{k i}(k, k, \chi)}{g_{i i}(k, k)}\right.\right. & \\
\left.+\omega\left(Z_{0 k}-\frac{Z_{k+1}}{\beta_{k} r_{k}}\right) \frac{d_{k i}(k, k, \chi)}{g_{i i}(k, k)}\right\} & \text { if } n=k \neq N \\
-\frac{d_{k i}(n, n, \chi)}{g_{i i}(n, n)} \frac{\partial Z_{n+1}}{\partial \sigma_{k}} & \text { if } n<k\end{cases}  \tag{24}\\
& \frac{\partial Z_{n}}{\partial \sigma_{k}}= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{j \omega \mu}{2 \beta_{k}^{2}}-\frac{1}{\sigma_{k}}\right) Z_{k}+\frac{j \omega \mu r_{k-1}}{2 \beta_{k}}\left(\frac{Z_{k}^{2}}{Z_{0 k}}+\frac{Z_{k}}{\beta_{k} r_{k-1}}-Z_{0 k}\right) & \text { if } n>k \\
& -\frac{\chi g_{i i}(k, k-1)}{d_{k i}(k, k-1, \chi)} \frac{\partial \tilde{\Gamma}_{k}}{\partial \sigma_{k}} \\
-\frac{\chi g_{i i}(n, n-1)}{d_{k i}(n, n-1, \chi)} \frac{\partial \tilde{\Gamma}_{n}}{\partial \sigma_{k}} & \text { if } n=k\end{cases} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, a pseudo code for the computation of $\partial \mathrm{Z}_{1} / \partial \sigma_{\mathrm{k}}$ is presented.

```
subroutine \(\mathrm{dZ} / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{\sigma}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{N})\) \{
double complex \(\tilde{\Gamma}(1: \mathrm{N}), \mathrm{Z}(1: \mathrm{N}), \mathrm{d} \tilde{\Gamma}(1: \mathrm{N}), \mathrm{dZ}(1: \mathrm{N})\)
for \(\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}\) to 1 step size -1
    \(\tilde{\Gamma}(\mathrm{n})=\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{n}}\)
    \(Z(n)=Z_{n}\)
    if \((\mathrm{n} \leq \mathrm{k})\) then
\(\mathrm{d} \tilde{\Gamma}(\mathrm{n})=\frac{\partial \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\partial \sigma_{\mathrm{k}}}\)
\(\mathrm{dZ}(\mathrm{n})=\frac{\partial \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\partial \sigma_{\mathrm{k}}}\)
    end if
end for
return \(\mathrm{dZ}(1) \quad\}\)
```

Algorithm 1: Recursive computation of $\partial \mathrm{Z}_{1} / \partial \sigma_{\mathrm{k}}$.

Two important considerations must be taken into account when using the algorithm shown above. The first one is that the computations of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}, \partial \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{n}} / \partial \sigma_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\partial \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}} / \partial \sigma_{\mathrm{k}}$ must be done as defined in (22), (23), (24) and (25) respectively. And the second is that according to the algorithm, the computation of $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ is obtained by setting the input variable k to 0 .

## CONCLUSIONS

As it was mentioned before, the availability of an analytic procedure for the computation of the tool measurement's first order derivatives improves the convergence and the efficiency (in terms of computational time) of the Time Harmonic Field Electric Logging inverse problem. The use of a linear inversion technique only requires the knowledge of the first order derivatives, and because of the smoothness of the measurement function, linear approximations should perform a
very good work most of the time. However, in case that a different kind of inversion technique (that uses higher order derivatives) would be required, we will certainly get a better numerical approximation of the higher order derivatives if starting from the analytically-computed first derivatives than if starting from the measurement function itself.
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